## DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-9808

October 8, 1980

ALL-COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE I- 110-80

TO:

ALL-COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

ATTENTION: AFDC Quality Control Staff

SUBJECT:

REFERENCE:

AFDC MBSAC, MAP, I-K-I Tables; Recorded Payment Amounts

Attached is the new AFDC MBSAC, MAP, and In-Kind-Income table amounts. The tables were effective July 1, 1980 but due to the late passage of the State 1980-81 budget, may not be reflected in the recipient's budgeted grant until mid-August or later.

Note that the In-Kind-Income table has been revised upward. One significant effect will be to require an URAM contribution of \$185. From the actual contribution made by the UAM, even if the contribution is less than the required \$185, \$63 (food for one plus \$5 household costs) is subtracted to determine net countable income to the FBU.

Quality Control (QC) will continue to review to the correctness of the AFDC grant paid in and for the review month as long as it was authorized during the review month, anytime up to and including the sample selection date. The sample selection date, effective since April 1979, is always the 16th of the review month. However, an underpayment error will not be cited in July, August, or September if the only reason for the underpayment is the lack of the authorization to supplement the grant for the cost-of-living (COL) increase. An underpayment error will exist in October, if the COL adjustments has not been authorized by October 16. QC will automatically accept an underpayment adjustment for a prior month as correct and include this amount in the amount of total dollars paid to the case in the sample month.

Example: Review month August 1980. County budgets a grant for \$331 (the maximum aid for a FBU (2) prior to July 1, 1980). Sample selection date is August 16. Authorizing action is made August 17, to supplement the grant \$51 to reflect the cost-of-living increase. Since authorization took place after sample selection, QC will record in Item R, boxes 49-52 of the Review Schedule, \$331 as total amount paid to the case, and review to the correctness of the \$331 grant. In this situation the COL supplement is totally disregarded.



Example 2: Same situation as above except the supplement is authorized August 15, for \$102 (\$51 for July and \$51 for August). QC will review to the correctness of the \$382 (\$331 + \$51) grant paid in and for the month of August. The remaining \$51 intended for the prior month's supplement will be accepted as correct, and \$433 (\$331 + \$51 + \$51) will recorded in Item R, boxes 49-52 of the Review Schedule as the total amount paid in (but not all for) the review month.

Refer to All-County Letter No. 80-25 if a dollar error exists in cases involving overpayment/underpayment adjustments.

QC reviews to the eligibility of the FBU as it exists on the review date (i.e., the first of the month in all counties except Monterey), but reviews to the budgeted grant amount for the FBU up to and including sample selection date.

Example 3: FBU on August 1, consists of a mother and her two children. The county budgets maximum aid for three (\$473). On August 5, the mother requests aid for a third child and the agency takes action prior to sample selection date to prorate the grant from August 5 to reflect the FBU of four and authorizes \$548 as total payment to the FBU. QC will determine the eligibility for the FBU as it existed on the first of the month and review to the correctness of the \$473 grant budgeted to the FBU of three. QC will record in Item R, boxes 49-52 of the Review Schedule, \$473 as the total dollars paid to the case.

If State QC finds a discrepancy in "total amount paid", the county will be notified by letter of the correct amount and the "State" schedule will be changed by the State QC analyst to reflect the correct amount. This discrepancy is not to be treated as a dollar error.

Per All-County Information Notice I-133-79, please call the appropriate AFDC-QC Regional or District Manager if there are any questions.

Sincerely,

PHILIP J. MANRIQUEZ Deputy Director

Planning and Review Division

Attachment

cc: CWDA

Number in Samily	Minimum Basic Standard for	Transfer Endiched	Essimum for Supple-	EVALUA	EVALUATION OF INCORE	E IN-KIND/AIDC	י בעדגימ
Budget Unit	Adequate Care	cipation Base	(80% of HAP)	Housing	Utilities	F00d	Clothing
arn (	10.00 10.00	\$255	3136	Slo4	6.3 62 82	8) (C)	\$18
ca	\$ 00 K	\$582	\$506	ST40	\$24	(f) (N) (-1) (N)	\$35
4 8 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	\$480	\$473	\$378	\$152	1.3	(i) (i) (ii)	\$52
.:	1920	3563	\$450	3162	\$5 \$0 \$0	in ov int	695
u v	\$682	\$642	\$51.6	\$162	(1) (N) (2)	No. 1 P. N	\$83
\$33	\$766	\$722	\$578	\$162	\$28	\$23	\$103
	\$845	2618	\$634	23.62	\$29	(Q) (7) (Q) (Q)	9120
(1)	60.00 60.00	\$662	0690	\$162	\$28	#17 #17	\$2135
05	\$1022	\$952	\$745	3162	\$20	\0 \0 \0 \0 \0	\$155
or	\$1111	\$1002	\$805	\$162	\$20	\$396	\$170
More than	Flus \$10 for each additional person within the FBU.	\$1002	\$ 000°	3162	\$28 \$28	3396	3170
Reference	44-212.2	44-515-411	44-315.422		44-115.8		
	andre de l'estate de l'est	and the second s	describes describing the section of		S. C. T.	2.7.7.5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,